IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CONTEMPT PETITION NO.295 OF 2006

Smt.Vimla Harakchand Jain ...Petitioner

vs.

Anandrao Vishnu Doiphode ...Respondent

Mr.Shreekant Gavand for the Petitioner
Ms Teja Katdare for the Respondent.

CORAM: A.S.OKA,J.
DATE : MARCH 22,2007.

P.C.:

1. Heard Advocates for the parties. The Petitioner has alleged in this Petition that the Respondent committed breach of the order dated 6th September 2005 passed by this Court in Writ Petition No.5912 of 2005. By the said order, the Respondent was directed to make an application for restoration of the electricity connection and the electric meter. This Court also directed that if the electric supply stands in the name of the Respondent, it will be ensured that the Respondent gets the same transferred in the name of the Petitioner herein.

2. It appears that the electricity connection was not transferred in the name of the Petitioner as necessary deposit was not paid by the Respondent. Now, it is an admitted position that necessary amount has been deposited by the Respondent with the Maharashtra State Electricity Distribution Company Ltd. The learned Advocates for the parties agree that

in view of deposit of the said amount by the Respondent, now there is no impediment in getting the electricity connection transferred in the name of the Petitioner.

3. The learned Advocate for the Petitioner states that as and when the Petitioner receives the bill from Maharashtra State Electricity Distribution Company Ltd., she will immediately hand over the same to the Respondent for facilitating the payment thereof. Statement made by the Advocate for the Petitioner is accepted.

4. Considering the aforesaid events, I find that now it is not necessary to take any action under the Contempt of Courts Act,1971 against the Respondent. Hence, notice issued to the Respondent is discharged. Contempt Petition is disposed of.

JUDGE